

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

119

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,314	02/13/2002	Kenji Hoshi	020171	4466
38834 Westerman	7590 10/17/200° J HATTORI DANIFI	EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			MOVVA, AMAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WISIERO	•		2891	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/073,314	HOSHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Amar Movva	2891				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a control will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)∟	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under L	ix parto Quayro, 1000 O.E	. 11, 400 0.0.210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
		·					
• •	ion Papers	_					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine		by the Examiner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	receiveu.				
Attachmen	• •			•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

PLEASE NOTE: A new examiner, Amar Movva, has been assigned to this case.

Applicant is advised to note the revised contact information in the Conclusion section of this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Ghinovker 833.
 - a. Regarding claims 1-4, Ghinovker discloses a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of alignment marks (76, fig. 2-5b) formed over a semiconductor wafer (lines 40-50, col. 4), each of the alignment marks comprising a micronized pattern (78 of 76, fig. 2-5b), the micronized pattern having a size smaller than a resolution limit of an alignment sensor of field image alignment detecting positions of the alignment marks (fig. 2-5b), the micronized pattern having a pattern forming margin larger than a pattern forming margin

Art Unit: 2891

which a device pattern formed over the semiconductor wafer has (lines 60-68, col. 4), and wherein all of the alignment marks formed in the entire alignment mark area have the same shape so as to generate about the same field image alignment signal (fig. 2-5b). The micronized pattern is a line-and-space pattern (fig. 2-5b). Each of lines constituting the line-and-space pattern are divided into a broken line having a plurality of segments (78 of 76, fig. 2-5b). Positions of the divisions between the plurality of segments of the lines are offset from those of the divisions between the plurality of segments of their adjacent lines (fig. 2-5b).

b. Regarding claims 13-16, Ghinovker discloses a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of alignment marks (76, fig. 2-5b) formed over a semiconductor wafer (lines 40-50, col. 4), each of the alignment marks being divided by a micronized line-and-space pattern into a plurality of lines extending along a first direction (76, fig. 2-5b), each of the plural lines being divided into a broken line having a plurality of segments which are arranged in the first direction only (78 of 76, fig. 2-5b), and wherein all of the alignment marks formed in the entire alignment mark area have the same shape so as to generate about the same field image alignment signal (fig. 2-5b). Positions of the divisions between the plurality of segments of the lines are offset from those of the divisions between the plurality of segments of their adjacent lines (fig. 2-5b). A margin in which the micronized pattern is formed is larger than a margin for a device pattern to be formed on the semiconductor wafer (lines 60-68, col. 4).

Art Unit: 2891

PLEASE NOTE: The Examiner notes that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See, e.g., *In re Pearson*, 18 1 USPQ 641 (CCPA); *In re Minks*, 169 USPQ 120 (Bd Appeals); *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); See MPEP §2114. The recitation of "the micronized pattern having a size smaller than a resolution limit of an alignment sensor of field image alignment detecting positions of the alignment marks", does not distinguish the present invention over Ghinovker '833 who teaches the structure as claimed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amar Movva whose telephone number is 571-272-9009. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone

on on the state of the state of

Art Unit: 2891

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amar Movva Examiner Art Unit 2891

am

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800